	$oldsymbol{1}$
1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
<b>4</b> 5	GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330) Assistant United States Attorney
6	1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S Oakland, California 94612-5217 Telephone: (510) 637-3929
7	Facsîmile: (510) 637-3724 E-Mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	OAKLAND DIVISION
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 09-00775 SBA
14 15	Plaintiff,  ) STIPULATION AND PROPOSITATUS ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME
16	JUAN OCTAVIANO LOPEZ,
17	aka Juan Octavio Lopez Ortiz, ) aka Juan Lopez Gomez, )
18	aka Manuel Vargas, and ) GLENDY GOMEZ, ) aka Glendy Elizabeth Gomez, )
19	Defendants.
20 21	)
22	Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendants, by and through their
23	counsel of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows:
24	1. A status conference in this matter is currently scheduled for 9 a.m. on Tuesday,
25	April 20, 2010.
26	2. The parties request that this hearing be continued until 9 a.m. on Tuesday, May
27	18, 2010, in order to provide defendants' counsel with additional time to evaluate the evidence in
28	this case and determine whether or not defendant should enter a change of plea or file motions
	STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME

and to prepare for trial in this matter.

- 3. Specifically, defendants' counsel needs the continuance in order to review discovery with defendants, investigate the case, and develop a motions and/or trial strategy in light of the discovery. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny defendants' counsel and defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from April 20, 2010, to May 18, 2010, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney

Dated: April 19, 2010 /s/

GARTH HIRE
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorney for United States of America

Dated: April 19, 2010 /s/ GILBERT EISENBERG

> Attorney for Defendant Juan Octaviano Lopez

Dated: April 19, 2010 /s/
RANDALL KNOX

Attorney for Defendant Glendy Gomez

STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME

## PROPOSED ORDER

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The currently scheduled April 20, 2010, status conference hearing is vacated. A status conference hearing is now scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on May 18, 2010.
- 2. The time period from April 20, 2010, to May 18, 2010, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendants' request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

DATED: 4/20/10\_\_\_\_

HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARAISTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE